	Case 2:09-cv-00037-MJP Document 5	11-1 Filed 12/21/12 Page 1 of 4
1		
2		THE HONORABLE MARSHA J. PECHMAN
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9		
10	IN RE: WASHINGTON MUTUAL MORTGAGE BACKED SECURITIES	Master Case No.: C09-0037 (MJP)
11	LITIGATION	CLASS ACTION
12		[PROPOSED] ORDER AWARDING
13	This Document Relates to: ALL CASES	PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S ATTORNEYS' FEES AND REIMBURSEMENT OF
14		EXPENSES
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER AWARDING PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S ATTORNEYS' FEES AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES – Master Case No. C09-0037 MJP	1 -

This matter having come before the Court on January 11, 2013, on the application of counsel for Plaintiffs for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses incurred in this action ("the Fee and Expense Application"), the Court, having considered all papers filed and proceedings conducted herein, having found the Settlement of this Action to be fair, reasonable and adequate and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefore;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

- 1. All of the capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement dated as of September 4, 2012 (the "Stipulation"), and filed with the Court.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this application and all matters relating thereto, including all Members of the Class who have not timely and validly requested exclusion from the litigation and the Class.
- 3. The "Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class Action, Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses and Settlement Fairness Hearing," substantially in the form approved by the Amended Order for Notice and Hearing ("Notice Order") dated September 19, 2012, was mailed to all persons and entities reasonably identifiable who purchased or otherwise acquired during the Class Period the WaMu Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates listed in the Stipulation, except those persons and entities excluded from the definition of the Class. In addition, the "Summary Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class Action," again substantially in the form approved by the Court in the Notice Order, was published pursuant to the specifications of the Court. A dedicated website was also used to reach Class Members and for further availability of the Notice to the Class. These three methods for reaching and contact with the Class Members, together, are defined as the "Notice."
 - 4. The Court hereby finds that the Notice to the Class provided the best notice

1	practicable under the circumstances. The Notice provided due and adequate notice of these
2	proceedings and the matters set forth herein, including the Fee and Expense Application, to all
3	persons and entities entitled to such notice, and the Notice fully satisfied the requirements of
4	Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Section 27 of the Securities Act of 1933, 15
5	U.S.C. § 77z-1(a)(7), as amended by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, due
6	process, and any other applicable law. A full opportunity has been offered to the Class
7	Members to object to the Fee and Expense Application and to participate in the hearing thereon.
8	5 Class Members have filed objections to or comments on the Fee
9	Application; the Court has fully considered those filings.
10	6. The Court hereby awards Plaintiffs' Counsel attorneys' fees of% of the
11	Settlement Fund, which is \$, plus the interest earned thereon for the same
12	time period and at the same rate as that earned on the Settlement Fund until the fee is paid, plus
13	reimbursement of litigation expenses in the amount of \$ The Court finds that
14	the amount of fees awarded is appropriate and is fair and reasonable under both the "percentage-
15	of-recovery" method and the lodestar method given the substantial risks of non-recovery, the
16	time and effort involved, and the result obtained for the Class.
17	7. The fees and expenses shall be allocated among counsel for the Plaintiffs by
18	Co-Lead Counsel, Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll PLLC and Scott+Scott LLP, in a manner
19	which, in Co-Lead Counsel's opinion, reflects each such counsel's contribution to the
20	institution, prosecution and resolution of the action.
21	8. The awarded attorneys' fees and expenses and interest earned thereon shall
22	immediately be distributed to Co-Lead Counsel subject to the terms, conditions and obligations
23	of the Stipulation.
24	
25	
26	

1	9. The Court hereby awards costs to Plaintiffs. The Court awards \$
2	to Lead Plaintiff Doral Bank Puerto Rico; \$ to Lead Plaintiff Policemen's
3	Annuity and Benefit Fund of the City of Chicago; and \$ to Plaintiff
4	Boilermakers National Annuity Trust. The Court finds the above respective sums fair and
5	reasonable as costs Plaintiffs necessarily incurred in the course of their representation of the
6	class.
7	
8	SIGNED this day of 2013.
9	
10	
11	THE HONORABLE MARSHA J. PECHMAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	Presented by:
13	By: /s/ Beth A. Kaswan Beth Kaswan (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
14	SCOTT+SCOTT, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, LLP
15	The Chrysler Building 405 Lexington Avenue
16	40th Floor New York, NY 10174-4099
17	Telephone: (212) 223-6444 Facsimile: (212) 223-6334
18	Email: bkaswan@scott-scott.com
19	By: /s/ Steven J. Toll Steven J. Toll (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
20	COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL PLLC 1100 New York Ave., N.W.
21	Suite 500, West Tower Washington, DC 20005
22	Telephone: (202) 408-4600 Facsimile: (202) 408-4699
23	Email: stoll@cohenmilstein.com
24	
25	
26	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER AWARDING - 4 - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S ATTORNEYS' FEES AND REIMBURSEMENT OF

EXPENSES –

Master Case No. C09-0037 MJP